#### **MEMORANDUM**

To: Board of Regents

From: Board Office

Subject: Preliminary Review of the Board of Regents' Strategic Plan Progress Report

Date: November 4, 2002

### Recommended Actions:

1. Receive the progress report.

2. Encourage the Board Office and institutions to maintain consistency and linkages between the institutional strategic plans and the Board of Regents' Strategic Plan.

### Executive Summary:

The Board of Regents 1998-2003 Strategic Plan was adopted in January 1998. Each year, a progress report on the ongoing implementation of the Strategic Plan and its Action Steps is prepared for Board review. Previous progress reports have focused on three issues:

- The linkages between the institutional strategic plans and the Board's Strategic Plan;
- The need to clarify terminology and examine implementation procedures of the Action Steps; and
- The adjustment/addition of Action Steps related to technology, international study, and diversity.

#### Enhanced Links and Full Implementation

The 2002 progress report focuses on two issues:

- The enhanced links between the institutional strategic plans and the Board's Strategic Plan; and
- The full implementation of assessment and/or monitoring measures of the Action Steps of the Board's Strategic Plan.

#### Action Plan

The Action Plan of the Board's Strategic Plan, which details the Key Result Areas, Objectives, Strategies, and Action Steps, can be found in the Attachment, pages 6-37. The assessment and monitoring procedures to measure the implementation of the Action Steps of the Strategic Plan are also detailed.

#### **Strategic Plan:**

The Board is committed to ensuring that the institutional strategic plans and the Board of Regents' Strategic Plan are consistent and linked. It is essential for the Board Office staff and institutional representatives to be particularly mindful of the need for consistency and linkages during the evaluation and revision of the current institutional strategic plans. The need for consistency and linkages becomes particularly significant as the

Board begins the planning process for its next strategic plan.

#### **Background:**

The Board of Regents 1998-2003 Strategic Plan contains:

- 4 Key Result Areas;
- 9 Objectives;
- 20 Strategies; and
- 87 Action Steps (modified from the initial 83 in 2000).

#### Plan Components

The Board of Regents' mission, vision, values, and culture are espoused in its Strategic Plan:

#### Mission Statement

The Board of Regents will be an exemplary model of governance and stewardship of resources of Regent institutions.

The Board establishes, coordinates and advances the individual mission and vision of each Regent institution and strives to meet the educational needs of Iowans in concert with Iowa's other educational institutions.

The Board has the responsibility for assuring effective relations with the various external constituencies of the Board of Regents, and the long-term development of the capacity of the Regent institutions to achieve their missions.

The Board challenges its institutions to become the best public education enterprise in the United States through unique teaching, research and outreach programs established for each university and school.

#### Vision Statement

The Board of Regents expects its public education enterprise to become the best in the United States.

The Board of Regents will be seen as the nation's higher education leader in developing the best-educated state in the nation, in creating new knowledge that demonstrably improves the quality of life for lowans, and in employing the resources of the Regent institutions to serve the needs of lowa and the world.

#### Values Statement

The values nurtured by the Board of Regents flow from the trust bestowed by the people of Iowa and the Board's educational mission. The Board values learning, knowledge, access, and public service. The Board values academic freedom, and in every endeavor upholds the value of uncompromised integrity, conforming to the highest standards of ethics, honesty, trust, fairness, civility, and compassion.

The Board values it linkages with the Regent institutions and the synergies gained from communication links among the institutions

themselves.

#### Values Statement (cont'd)

The Board values quality and pursuit of excellence in a diverse educational community. The Board embraces the value of dedication to educational and public service of the highest standard. The Board values strategic planning, stewardship, and accountability in all activities of the Board and the Regent institutions.

#### Culture Statement:

Governance through Delegation

The overall authority to govern the Regent institutions is fully vested in the Board of Regents. The Board delegates appropriate authority to the institutional heads who are the university presidents and the special school superintendents. Institutional governance structures are developed, with Regent oversight of operations and management. Effective management practices marked by continuous self-examination and improvement are intrinsic to the Board's operational culture.

#### Nurturing Environment

The Board is committed to a nurturing environment for the recruitment (where appropriate) and retention of high-quality, diverse faculty, staff and students. The Board believes that individual and collective accomplishments are enhanced by an environment characterized by collaboration of expertise, and cultivation of common as well as unique and individual interests.

#### Academic Freedom

The Board of Regents is committed to academic freedom as an integral part of an environment fostering excellence. The Board seeks an environment of critical thinking, free inquiry, and open communication marked by academic freedom, and opportunities for educational endeavors enriched by the presence and active participation of people from diverse backgrounds and cultures.

#### Effective Service

At the core of the Board's culture resides the commitment to effective and responsive service to appropriately meet the needs of the people of lowa, the nation, and the world. The Board will proactively communicate to the state's constituencies and the public its efforts toward this service.

#### Key Result Areas

The Board's Strategic Plan identifies four Key Results Areas:

- KRA 1.0.0.0 Quality Become the best public education enterprise in the United States.
- KRA 2.0.0.0 Access Provide access to education, research, and service opportunities within the missions of the Regent institutions.
- KRA 3.0.0.0 Diversity Establish policies to encourage continuous improvement of the climate for diversity, and ensure equal educational and employment opportunities.
- KRA 4.0.0.0 Accountability Meet the objectives of the Board and

institutional strategic plans, and provide effective stewardship of the institutions' state, federal, and private resources.

#### **Action Steps**

In 2000, four Action Steps (one related to internationalization and three related to technology) were added to the original 83 Action Steps of the Board's Strategic Plan, bringing the new total to 87. The 2000 Strategic Plan progress report noted that, of the original 83 Action Steps, 77 had been fully implemented (i.e., assessment and/or monitoring measures were in place and were being reported).

### Action Step Evaluation

At the request of the Board, the Board Office staff and institutional representatives evaluated whether the remaining six Action Steps were completed, or had in place assessment and/or monitoring procedures to measure the implementation of the Action Steps. It was determined that all but one of the Action Steps considered at the time as "nearing completion" should be upgraded to "consistently/systematically monitored"; the one Action Step remained as "nearing completion." Later, it was determined that the remaining Action Step should be upgraded to "consistently/systematically monitored."

### Full Implementation

The 2001 Strategic Plan progress report noted that the Board Office staff and institutional representatives determined that all 87 Action Steps of the Strategic Plan had been fully implemented or had in place ongoing assessment and/or monitoring measures.

### Assessment Procedures

The assessment and/or monitoring procedures, which are in place for each of the Action Steps, can be found in the Attachment, pages 6-37.

#### Analysis:

#### Accountability

As a public education enterprise, the Board of Regents is strongly committed to fiscal and programmatic stewardship, which is evidenced by one of the four Key Result Areas (KRAs) of the Board's Strategic Plan – KRA 4.0.0.0 Accountability.

Under KRA 4.0.0.0 Accountability, as well as the other three KRAs, are listed related Action Steps. The Action Steps frequently refer to annual reports (or governance documents) that contain performance indicators or other procedures to measure the implementation of the Action Steps of the Board's Strategic Plan. Each Action Step identifies who is responsible for the action and includes a timeline for implementation. The Board's commitment to stewardship has resulted in full implementation of the 87 Action Steps.

### Full Implementation

In the final year of the Board's Strategic Plan, these points can be made:

- The Action Steps that call for action to be completed have been accomplished;
- The Action Steps that mandate ongoing reporting have an annual process/procedure in place; and
- The Board has continually evaluated its Strategic Plan to respond, as appropriate, to internal and external factors.

#### Recommendations

Because of the need to respond appropriately to internal and external factors, each institution's strategic plan and the Board of Regents' Strategic Plan must be flexible. The Board recognizes that each Regent institution is unique and is impacted by unique internal and external factors; therefore, each institution's strategic plan is likely to need annual modification. As a result, the Board Office recommends:

- Continued cooperation between the Board Office staff and institutional representatives in reviewing the consistency and linkages between new (and revised) institutional strategic plans and indicators and the Board's Strategic Plan and its indicators.
- Continued discussions between the Board Office staff and institutional representatives on increased use of indicators and benchmarks, i.e., identification of "best practices," of other comparable institutions for consideration as new indicators and benchmarks in the Board's next strategic plan.

### Performance Indicators:

The institutional strategic plan progress reports (G.D. 3a-3e) contain statistical and narrative data on progress made on the institutions' performance indicators and Board of Regents Performance Indicators, which relate directly to the institutional strategic plans. A complete report of the Board of Regents Performance Indicators, including charts and tables, will be presented in the Annual Report on Performance Indicators in January 2003.

Approved:

H/AA/DOCKET/2002/November/gd3f.doc

Key Result Area:	1.0.0.0	Become the best public education enterprise in the United States.	GR -
Objective:	1.1.0.0	Improve the quality of existing and newly created educational programs.	(annual report to Regents) d educational programs. MGT – Performance indicators developed by consultants
Strategy:	1.1.1.0	Strengthen undergraduate education at each Regent university and Educational programs of the special schools.	
Action Steps (Numbered)	Who Responsible (*Lead person)	Remarks (e.g. opportunities or problems uncovered; talents or weaknesses revealed; coordinated efforts required)	Assessment Update with Selective Monitoring Data
1.1.1.1 Increase the percentage of	Presidents	GR Faculty Productivity GR Institutional Strategic Plans	<ul> <li>Assessment is in place through annual reports.</li> <li>Strategic Plans for 2001 and Performance Indicator data</li> </ul>
undergraduate courses taught by senior faculty.		MG I #1 % or undergraduate student nours taught by tenure track faculty at SUI and UNI MGT#2 % of senior faculty teaching	(#1-4) indicate that budget reductions are naving a negative impact on percentages of senior faculty teaching undergraduates.
		undergraduates at SUI MGT#3 % of introductory courses taught	
		by senior faculty at ISO and UNI MGT#4 % of senior faculty teaching at	
	•	least 1 undergraduate course per academic year at ISU	
1.1.1.2 Ensure	Presidents	GR - Performance Indicators Report	Assessment is in place.     Iniversity Strategic Plans for 2001 and Performance
appropriate for		undergraduate class size.	Indicator data (#5) indicate that budget reductions are
subject matter being taught.			naving a negative impact on ciass size.
1.1.1.3 Each	Presidents	GR- Academic Program Reviews. (Same	Academic Program Review reporting guidelines were revised in 2004
academic programs		GR – Performance Indicators	Reports of 2001 emphasized specific changes made in
at least once every		•	programs that were received.
report to the Board	•		schedules in light of budget reductions.
through appropriate			

		Description of the property of	Accessment Undate with Selective Monitoring Data
Action Steps	Who	Kemarks (e.g. opportunities of propieties	
(Numpered)	responsible	revealed: coordinated efforts required)	
	Ledu personi	On intimitant atratage plans (ICI) and	Assessment is in place through annual reports
1.1.1.4 Encourage	Presidents	GK-Institutional strategic plants (150 and	Assessment and prace who again and a company of the
innovation in		SUI). (Same as 1.1.2.4.) MGI#0 % UI	• MGI #8 was ellillated in December 2000.
teaching by		faculty using instructional technology	<ul> <li>Performance indicators show that use of instructional</li> </ul>
increasing		(SUI/UNI).	technology has increased at universities since the BOR's
resources and		MGT#7 number of general assignment	Strategic Plan has been begun. Example: The number of
etratedies for		technology-equipped classrooms at SUI	general assignment technology-equipped classrooms has
offective use of new		MGT#8 % of class sections in which	increased significantly, and the percentage of class sections
CHECUNO DE DE COLONIO		committees are used as an integral part (ISU)	in which computers is used as an integral part of teaching
Instructional		MGT#9% of faculty using computers (ISU).	and learning
technologies.		MGT#10 - % of students with technology	• At both ISD and IBSSS rise of technology is made a part of
		accessibility as part of their Individualized	each student's Individual Education Plan, as appropriate.
•	-	Education Plan at the special schools.	
1115 Fach	Presidents**		<ul> <li>Assessment procedures are in place.</li> </ul>
inetitution develon		**Certain actions have been delegated to	
institution described		university presidents that require periodic	
English Janguage		reporting to Board of Regents.	
- reference			
pioliciency			
Standards for all			
teaching assistants.		Transfer (II And ) transfer of	A Assessment propedures are in place
1.1.1.6 Each	Presidents	GR Enfolments (rait ii). Allinai lepoit	
university report on		addresses quality, citing students high	• 066 Z. I. I. I
the quality of	•	school rank and standardized test scores	
undergraduate			
students in the			
enrollment report.		- 1	
1.1.7 Special	Superintendents	MGT# 11special school student outcomes	<ul> <li>Assessment procedures are in place.</li> </ul>
schools report to the		in annual strategic plans	• In additional to the annual progress reports of the Sulategic
Board annually on			Plans, ISD and IBSSS report on a number of student
student outcomes.			OUICOMES IN Their Sections of the Allituda Nepolition
			Performance mulcators.
			A new Strategic Plan for ISD was approved in July 2001.
1.1.1.8 Each	Presidents	GR – International Agreements and Study	<ul> <li>Assessment procedures are in place.</li> </ul>
institution under-		Abroad	<ul> <li>In 2001, the Annual Report on International Agreements</li> </ul>
takes efforts to			expanded data reported:
ensure that			<ul> <li>Number of active and proposed agreements increased from</li> </ul>
curricula reflect			170 to 206
internationalization			<ul> <li>No. of students participating in study abroad increased 4.1%.</li> </ul>
and enhance global			
awareness.			
	•		

Key Result Area:	1.0.0.0	Become the best public education enterprise in the United States.
Objective:	1.1.0.0	Improve the quality of existing and newly created educational programs.

we the quality of existing and newly created educational programs.	Strengthen the quality of graduate and professional education at Regent institutions within the unique mission of each institution.
Improve the	Strengthen mission of e
1.1.0.0	1.1.2.0
Objective:	Strategy:

	•		
Action Steps	Who	Remarks (e.g. opportunities or problems	Assessment Update with Selective Monitoring Data
(Numbered)	Responsible (*Lead person)	uncovered; talents or weaknesses revealed; coordinated efforts required)	
1.1.2.1 Each university	Presidents	GR - annual Program Reviews (Same as	<ul> <li>Assessment procedures are in place.</li> </ul>
assess all academic		1.1.1.3.)	• See 1.1.1.3.
programs at least once			
every seven years and			
through appropriate			
governance reports.			
1.1.2.2 Focus graduate	Presidents	Annual Strategic Plan Progress Reports by	<ul> <li>The University of Iowa, Iowa State University, and</li> </ul>
programs to conform to		Presidents	the University of Northern Iowa have begun new
unique missions of each			strategic plans. Each university plan has new
university.			indicators relative to its graduate programs.
			Performance Indicator #13a reports that graduate
			students in professional programs consistently have
			passage rates on certification examinations nigner
			man me national average.
1.1.2.3 Recruit an	Presidents	GRFaculty Salaries	<ul> <li>Assessment procedures are in place.</li> </ul>
outstanding, strong		GR-Faculty Tenure	<ul> <li>Faculty salaries are analyzed annually relative to</li> </ul>
faculty to foster		GR- number of faculty resignations (MGT #12).	Board-approved peer institutions.
intellectual vitality for			<ul> <li>The Annual GR on Faculty Tenure includes data on</li> </ul>
graduate programs.			new hires, and analyzes university and national
			trends.
			<ul> <li>The GR on Faculty Resignations in December 2000</li> </ul>
			reported 151 tenured and tenure-track faculty
			members at the 3 universities resigned in 1999-
			Zood, the inglicat fidilities since 1991.

			Assessment IIndate with Selective Monitoring Data
Action Steps	Who		Topografic Charles and Colors of the Colors
(Numbered)	Responsible	uncovered; talents or weaknesses revealed;	
•	(*Lead person)	coordinated efforts required)	
1 1 2 4 Encourage	Presidents	Some MGT measures (6-9) are reported in the	<ul> <li>Assessment procedures are in place.</li> </ul>
innovation in teaching by		institutional strategic plans (ISU and SUI).	<ul> <li>See 1.1.1.4 comments.</li> </ul>
increasing resources and		(Same as 1.1.1.4.)	
strategies for effective		MGT#6% of faculty using instructional	
use of new instructional		technology at SUI and UNI	
technologies		MGT#7 number of general assignment	
		technology-equipped classrooms at SUI	
		MGT#8% of class sections in which computers	
		are used as an integral part at ISU	
		MGT#9 % of faculty who use computers at ISU	
	•		
1125 Each institution	Presidents	MGT#13 % of professional students passing	<ul> <li>Assessment procedures are in place.</li> </ul>
report on the percentage		licensure examinations for each university.	<ul> <li>The institutional indicators of SUI and ISU cited in</li> </ul>
of professional students		GRprogram reviews include student outcomes	their 2001 Strategic Plans Indicate that professional
that pass licensing		assessment (as appropriate)	students are above the national average on
exams and exceed		[Note: Accreditation reports typically have	licensing examinations. SUI expanded its lists of
national or state average		section on student performance.]	professional examinations this year.
(as appropriate).			The CD on Enrollment Dart II contains appropriate
1.1.2.6 Each university	Presidents	GK-enrollment (Part II) Includes	יייין פון דוויסווויפווי, ו פון זו כסוימוייט קייטייט איניט פון דוויסווויפווי, ו פון זו כסוימוייט קייטיט פון דו
report on the quality of			The continuation do not report everage Graduate
professional and		students at the three universities.(MG1#14)	Ine Universities do not report average diadrate
graduate students in the			Kecord Exam (GRE) scores, because the examination and analysis of the
appropriate governance			not used by all of most graduate programs. The
report.	•		institutions do use other appropriate means of
			תבוכוווווווווו חוב לתמווו לו אתתכווים מתווווים

Key Result Area:	1.0.0.0	Become the best public education enterprise in the United States.
Objective:	1.1.0.0	Improve the quality of existing and newly created educational programs.
Strategy:	1.1.3.0	Include meritorious teaching as part of the reward structure relating to salary increases, promotion, tenure, and professional development leaves.

Assessment Update with Selective Monitoring Data	<ul> <li>One section of the GR on Faculty Activities is devoted to the portfolio systems used by Regent universities. Each university's system of determining faculty load, using the portfolios, is different. Evaluation of faculty performance, including post- tenure review, is related to the use of faculty portfolios.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Assessment procedures are in place.</li> <li>Faculty salaries are analyzed annually relative to Boardapproved peer institutions.</li> <li>The GR on Faculty Tenure describes the procedures used by each university for promotion.</li> <li>The GR on Professional Development in recent years includes a description of the competitive review done on each campus to award professional development opportunities.</li> </ul>
Remarks (e.g. opportunities or problems uncovered; talents or weaknesses revealed; coordinated efforts required)	GR – Faculty Activities Report (contains each institution's guidelines and criteria for faculty portfolios)	GR Faculty Salaries GR Faculty Tenure and Promotions GR Professional Development
Who Responsible (*Lead person)	Presidents	Presidents
Action Steps (Numbered)	1.1.3.1 Implement and maintain faculty portfolios at Regent universities.	1.1.3.2 Report data in the relevant governance reports and presentations to the Board.

Key Result Area:	1.0.0.0 B	Become the best public education enterprise in the United States.	in the United States.
Objective:	1.1.0.0	Improve the quality of existing and newly created educational programs.	ated educational programs.
Strategy:	1.1.4.0 S	Strengthen research, creative work, and service by the Regent institutions.	ice by the Regent institutions.
Action Steps (Numbered)	Who Responsible ("Lead person)	Remarks (e.g. opportunities or problems uncovered; talents or weaknesses revealed; coordinated efforts required)	Assessment Update with Selective Monitoring Data
1.1.4.1 Each university enhance	Presidents	Relevant MGTs reported in annual strategic plans consistent with inclinational missions	<ul> <li>The GR on Economic Development and Technology Transfer includes Performance Indicator #22, #23, and #24 (charts now contain 8 years of data)</li> </ul>
its research efforts consistent with its mission.		MGT#15relevant annual publication indices of SUI.	<ul> <li>The SUI and ISU Strategic Plans contain multiple year data for other indicators.</li> </ul>
		MGT#16relevant citation indices at SUI.  MGT#22 number of intellectual	
		property disclosures at SUI. MGT#17 – % of ISU faculty having one scholarly work published during	
	•	the past three years. MGT#20 % of ISU faculty that are	
		principal or co-principal investigators. MGT#23 – number of new technologies licensed by ISU.	
		MGT#24number of ISU's new licenses generating revenues and total revenues openerated	

	1000		Assessment IIndate with Selective Monitoring Data
Action Steps	Who	_	
(Numbered)	Responsible	problems uncovered; talents or	
	(*Lead person)	weaknesses revealed; coordinated	
		ellons required	A contract the contract of the
1.1.4.2 Each university	Presidents	GR - I echnology Transfer and	Assessment procedures are in place.  In oddition to those indicators listed the GR on Economic.
increase sponsored	•	Economic Development Neport (501,	Ill addition to unose marcards asted, and on the company Transfer includes data on
research consistent with		ISU, UNI) and	Development and recimology transfer includes data of
its mission.		GR - Strategic Plan for ISU and SUI	corporate-sponsored research (791 agreements totaling \$43.4
		(includes MGTs 18-24)	million in FY 2001).
		Examples:	
		MGT#18 - sponsored funding per	
		year at SUI and ISU.	
		MGT#19 number of yearly external	
		of faculty as principal or co-principal	
		investigators at ISU.	
		MGT #21 sponsored funding per	
		faculty member at ISU.	
1.1.4.3 Each institution	Presidents and	GR SUI strategic plan includes	SUI continues to report on Indicator #25 (included in the GK on Dispense Education of well as SHI strategic plan)
increase its service to	Superintendents	≥	Distance Fundation as well as Ool strategic plant).
lowans, nation, and		MGT#25 number of non-degree	• GK on Distance Education reported in 2001 tillat for #20, ute
world.		enrollments at SUI (SUI strategic	credit enrollments were 35,125 and non-credit enrollments
		plan); also	were 515,296.
		MGT#26number of sites served by	<ul> <li>ISU reported that the number of extension clients was 499,537</li> </ul>
			in 1999-2000.
			<ul> <li>UNI reported that the enrollment in off-campus credit courses</li> </ul>
		SUI health science centers	for 2000-2001 was 8,356.
		•	<ul> <li>Indicator #26 has been dropped.</li> </ul>
		GR Distance Education includes:	Indicator #27 will replace number of annual visits with patient
			survey of satisfaction with UIHC services.
		credit/noncredit courses offered	The GR on Distance Education now includes a map of lowa
	,	Infough extended and community	
			each county, as well as in how many different communities. In
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			the report for 2001, Regent universities offered 2,386
		s serv	courses/section in 339 different communities in 98 of 10wa's 99
		planj   MGT#30availability of off-campus	countles.
		courses (UNI st plan).	

Key Result Area:	1.0.0.0	Become the best public education enterprise in the United States.
Objective:	1.2.0.0	Obtain and maintain annual budgets sufficient to support Regent and institutional strategic plans and initiatives.
Strategy:	1.2.1.0	Assure that the funding base is diverse and is consistent with the Board's aspirations for institutional excellence.

	MACHO	Remarks (e.g. opportunities or	Assessment Update with Selective Monitoring Data
Action Steps (Numbered)	onsible	problems uncovered; talents or	
(pologium)		weaknesses revealed; coordinated	
		efforts required)	
1 2 1 1 Maintain the	Presidents and	GR - Annual Performance Indicators	<ul> <li>Assessment is in place through the annual report</li> </ul>
confidence and support of the	Superintendents	Report (See also KRA 4.0.0.0 on pages	presented in October for the previous fiscal
nutic by each institution	•	56 to 66.)	year.(Comprehensive Fiscal Report).
utilizing existing financial		GR Comprehensive Fiscal Report.	<ul> <li>The Annual Report on Performance Indicators includes</li> </ul>
resources efficiently and			data on 8 financial components.
effectively.			
1.2.1.2 Continue the Board's	Board	GR Appropriations Requests includes	Assessment is in place through the normal operating
long-standing practice of		MGT #31state appropriations request	budgetary procedures in GR presentations in July and
seeking state appropriations		relative to HEPI.	September.
annually at a level at least 3			<ul> <li>Operating appropriations requests, salary and</li> </ul>
percentage points above	•		institutional initiatives have met or exceeded the
growth in the Higher			Board's practice.
Education Price Index (HEPI).			
1.2.1.3 Establish tuition and	Board	This is consistent with Board policy	Assessment is in place through the normal fultion
fees annually not only to keep		adopted 12/18/97.	study in September and October GR presentations.
pace with HEPI but support		GR - Annual Tuition Rates and Fees	
aspirations for excellence.		covers MGT #32, the growth in	
		undergraduate tuition and fees relative to	
		HEPI.	

A -4: O4	IATE	Demarks (o a conceptualities or	m ofchall language	Accessment Indate with Coloctive Monitoring Data
Action Steps		Meinains (e.g. opportunities of	Sessingin Obdate W	in celective monitoring Data
(Numbered)	Responsible	problems uncovered; talents or		
	(*Lead person)	weaknesses revealed; coordinated		
•		efforts required)		
1.2.1.4 Each	Presidents and	GRAffiliated Organizational	Assessment is in pla	Assessment is in place through the GR on Affiliated
institution increase	Superintendents	Governance Reports and/or part of	Organizational Gove	Organizational Governance Reports and/or part of the budgeting
funding from private		budgeting process.	process.	
sources.		GR Annual Indicators Report	Each institution repo	Each institution reports in its Strategic Plan the number of annual
		includes MGT#33 number of annual	contributors and doll	contributors and dollar value of contributions. This data is also
		contributors and dollar value of	reported in the Annu	reported in the Annual Report on Performance Indicators.
	-	contributions		
1.2.1.5 Within	Presidents and	GR Technology Transfer includes	GR on Economic De	GR on Economic Development and Technology Transfer provides
context of mission,	Superintendents	MGT#34, SUI and ISU report of	a historical chart on t	a historical chart on business-sponsored research funding dollars
each institution	-	external grants and contracts	and number of corpo	and number of corporate agreements. Reported annually to the
increase external		GR - SUI, ISU Strategic Plans	lowa House and Sen	lowa House and Senate Economic Development Committees.
grants and contracts		GR monthly reports on gifts and	SUI and ISU, and als	SUI and ISU, and also UNI, make annual reports on economic
for research.		grants.	development and tec	development and technology transfer. In addition, their strategic
			plans refer to their re	plans refer to their research and contracts activities.
			Each Regent instituti	Each Regent institution submits a month report on gifts and grants
•			received.	
1.2.1.6 Seek	Executive	GR 5-year capital plans and annual	Assessment is in pla	Assessment is in place through the normal capital budgetary
appropriate funding	Director,		procedures in GR pro	procedures in GR presentations in July and September.
for capital	Presidents, and	MGT#35 amount of capital	The GR on Deferred	The GR on Deferred Maintenance annually reports backlog and
improvement needs,	Superintendents	improvement funds requested and	expenditures.	
including external				
gifts and grants.		MGT#36 deferred maintenance		
		backlog and expenditures (4.3.1.1).		
1.2.1.7 Reallocate	Presidents and	GR institutional budgeting process.	Assessment is in pla	Assessment is in place through the normal operating budgetary
an average of	Superintendents	MGT#37 % of resources reallocated	procedures in GR pro	procedures in GR presentations in May, June, and July.
2 percent general	•	annually. (See also KRA 4.0.0.0)	Monitoring is in place	Monitoring is in place in the GR Comprehensive Fiscal Report in
funds annually.			October.	
			Board policy is that e	Board policy is that each institution is to reallocate 2% of its
			budget annually.	

Key Result Area:	1.0.0.0	Become the best public education enterprise in the United States.
Objective:	1.2.0.0	Obtain and maintain annual budgets sufficient to support Regent and institutional strategic plans and initiatives.
Strategy:	1.2.2.0	Continue flexibility of the Board and institutions to utilize funds to maintain and achieve competitiveness.

Action Stens	Who Responsible	Remarks (e.g. opportunities or	Assessment Update with Selective Monitoring Data
(Numbered)	(*Lead person)	problems uncovered; talents or	
		weaknesses revealed; coordinated	
		efforts required)	
1.2.2.1 Ensure	Executive Director,	Actions taken by persons responsible	<ul> <li>Assessment in place through monitoring of legislative activity</li> </ul>
appropriations are	Presidents,	when needed in response to actions	and established Regent response network.
provided to the	Superintendents	by legislature and Governor.	<ul> <li>Monthly reports provided to the Board during legislative</li> </ul>
Board and	<i>z</i>		session.
institutions on a			
broad institutional			
basis rather than			
through line items.			
1.2.2.2 Maintain	Board, Executive	Same as 1.2.2.1	<ul> <li>Same as 1.2.2.1</li> </ul>
efforts to ensure that	Director, Presidents,		
program directions	and Superintendents		
are not included in			
appropriations			
language.			
1.2.2.3 Preserve	Board, Executive	Same as 1.2.2.1	• Same as 1.2.2.1
efforts to exclude	Director, Presidents,		
funding outside of	and Superintendents	•	
state general fund or	- - -		
state infrastructure			
fund in the			
appropriations bills.			

Key Result Area:	2.0.0.0	Provide ac	ccess to educational, research, and serv.s.	Provide access to educational, research, and service opportunities within the missions of the Regent institutions.
Objective:	2.1.0.0	Annually asson impediments	assess educational opportunities, tuition policy, arents to access and retention at Regent institutions	Annually assess educational opportunities, tuition policy, and financial aid policy to identify and to eliminate impediments to access and retention at Regent institutions.
Strategy:	2.1.1.0	Analyze, and institutions.		where appropriate, make recommendations to increase access and retention to Regent
Action Steps (Numbered)		Who Responsible (*Lead person)	Remarks (e.g. opportunities or problems uncovered; talents or weaknesses revealed; coordinated efforts required)	Assessment Update with Selective Monitoring Data
2.1.1.1 Annually assess	SS	Executive .	GR Annual Enrollments includes	Fall 2001 Enrollment Report - Part I
access to Regent institutions.	.ellolls.	Presidents	and residency at each university.	<ul> <li>During Fall 2001, Regent universities are serving more students as measured both by headcount enrollment and</li> </ul>
				FTE enrollment, than the prior year. The Fall 2001 headcount enrollment is at an all-time high of 70 661
			resident undergraduates receiving	students, with a combined total increase of 1,731 students
			based).	In addition to providing educational opportunities on
	. •		GR – Distance Education (including Regional Centers)	campus, regent universities are reaching out to fried use needs of lowans through off-campus offerings. The off-campus headcoint enrollment is 2 824 for Fall 2001.
•				The number of racial/ethnic minority students at Regent universities increased by 205 students (+4.1%) from
				4,979 in Fall 2000 to 5,184 in Fall 2001.
				Ability to you for college has consistently ranked as one of
				the most significant considerations for entering freshmen when making college attendance decisions.
				<ul> <li>During the 2000-2001 academic year, there were 156,649 student financial aid awards (all categories) at Regent</li> </ul>
				universities, totaling \$483,763,996. This sum represents a 4.9% increase in funds and a 1.9% increase in the number of awards from the previous year. The average

study centers located in the Quad Cities and in Sioux City. enrollment for FY 2001 was 2,652, which was an increase Resource Center totaled 467, which was a decrease of 61 students (-11.6%) from the prior year. This is the second center in Council Bluffs for citizens in the southwest area 5,528 and total registrations increased by 1,063 students 1,228 students) in FY 1995 to 50.6% (2,798 students) in universities decreased by 159 students (-14.7%) from FY of 542 students (+25.7%) over FY 2000 enrollment. The headcount enrollment at the Quad Cities Graduate Study added four new degree programs and six new certificate enrollments. The total enrollment at Regent universities during 2000-2001 was 590, an increase of 159 students Regent universities participate in independent graduate (+11.6%) from 9,176 to 10,239. The proportion of lowa offerings by the University of Northern Iowa and Wayne (+36.9%) from the prior year. Enrollment for FY 2001 at the Southwest lowa Regents Center increased by 84 students (+1.5%) from 5,444 to lear of enrollment decreases at the Center. Unlike the esidents enrolled in the GradCenter grew from 35.7% objective to improve access to the Regent universities. The universities also cooperate to provide a resource of the state. These efforts are part of the institutional ncrease resulted primarily from additional education activities that help the Board of Regents achieve its award per student increased from \$3,002 to \$3,088 (+2.9%). certificate programs. During 2000-2001, the Center During the 2000-2001 academic year, unduplicated State College. Iowans accounted for 47.6% of the baccalaureate program in addition to graduate and -Y 2001. However, the total enrollment at Regent At the Tri-State Graduate Center, total headcount Annual Report on Regional Study Centers other two centers, the Resource Center offers a or endorsement programs.

Annual Report on Student Retention and Graduation Rates	• Consistent with patterns over many years, the three institutions continue to graduate more than 60% of entering freshmen within six years. Six-year graduation rates for the most recent reporting year – the entering class of 1994 – increased at SUI, from 62.1% to 63.1% and at ISU, from 60.4% to 62.4%. The six-year graduation rate decreased slightly at UNI, from 62.7% to	<ul> <li>Students enrolled in four-year graduation plans graduated at the highest rates last year. The four-year graduation rate at SUI was 37.1%; at ISU, it was 28.4%; and at UNI, it was 33.2%</li> </ul>	At SUI and ISU, the one-year retention rates have increased annually during the past five-year period. At ISU, it is at an all-time high and progressing toward its target of 90%. At UNI, the one-year retention rate increased by 4 percentage points from the prior year.	Spring 2001 Enrollment Report	<ul> <li>The headcount enrollment for Spring 2001 at the Regent universities totaled 64,676, which is an all-time high.</li> <li>There were 609 more students in Spring 2001 that were enrolled the prior year, which represents a 1.0% increase in spring enrollment.</li> </ul>	Off-campus headcount enrollment during Spring 2001     was 2,824. Approximately 80% of the students were     enrolled in either graduate or professional level courses.     There were program or certificate offerings provided in 75 counties during Spring 2001; this does not include	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	•		-				

Action Stens	Who	Remarks (e.g., opportunities or	Assessment Update with Selective Monitoring Data
(Numbered)	Responsible (*Lead person)	ited	
2.1.1.2 Develop and implement effective strategies, with targets, for retention of students.	Presidents	GR Graduation and Retention Rates	<ul> <li>The GR on Retention and Graduation Rates is presented annually in November.</li> <li>The six-year graduation rates for the entering class of 1995 increased at all the Regent universities.</li> <li>SUI 63.9% to 64;7%</li> <li>ISU 62.4% to 63.7%</li> <li>UNI 62.2% to 64.2%</li> </ul>
2.1.1.3 Adopt and implement policy on distance education.	Presidents and Superintendents	GR – Distance Education	<ul> <li>The Board adopted a new policy on Distance Education in 1997, and revised it in 1999.</li> <li>In 2001, the Board approved a Priority Issue Study Group on Distributed Education recommendation to form two Councils: (1) Coordinating Council on Virtual Learning: (2) 2+2 Council</li> </ul>
2.1.1.4 Evaluate differential tuition policy for distance education.	Executive Director	GR Institutional Distance Education strategic plans	<ul> <li>Assessment contingent upon gathering of consistent institutional data. Current Board tuition policy does not differentiate tuition for location.</li> </ul>
2.1.1.5 Raise the percentage of lowans possessing baccalaureate degrees to the national average.	Board, Executive Director, and Presidents	GR Distance Education Report includes study of baccalaureate degree holders staying in Iowa	As of 2000-2001:  • 60 credit programs offered in 98 of 99 countries  • 35,125 students registered in 2,586 individual courses/sections  • 1,000 students enrolled in Bachelor of Liberal Studies

Key Result Area:	2.0.0.0	Provide access to educational, research, and service opportunities within the missions of the Regent institutions.	
Objective:	2.1.0.0	Annually assess educational opportunities, tuition policy, and financial aid policy to identify and eliminate impediments to access and retention at Regent institutions.	
Strategy:	2.1.2.0	Increase access to Regent institutions through marketing strategies for each institution.	

Action Steps	Who Responsible	Remarks (e.g. opportunities or	Assessment Update with Selective Monitoring Data
(Numbered)	(*Lead person)	problems uncovered; talents or	
	•	weaknesses revealed; coordinated	
		efforts required)	
2.1.2.1 Develop and	Presidents,	GR - Enrollment Reports	<ul> <li>The GR on Enrollment (November) describes projections</li> </ul>
implement effective	Superintendents	GR - Graduation and Retention Rates	by institutions regarding enrollments.
marketing strategies.			At regular meetings of Registrars and Admissions
)			Directors, strategies are discussed. Several brochures
			recently developed on common admissions standards
			and recommendations to secondary students on how to
			enhance school and college experience.
	•		<ul> <li>Note: Special Schools cannot recruit.</li> </ul>
2.1.2.2 Increase	Executive Director	(1) Through ongoing actions by	<ul> <li>See revision in remarks column.</li> </ul>
collaboration and cooperation and Presidents	and Presidents	lowa Coordinating Council for	<ul> <li>The 2+2 Council seeks to expand and enhance</li> </ul>
with other sectors of		Post-High School Education;	articulation agreements with community colleges.
postsecondary education in	•	(2) Collaborataive efforts with	
marketing efforts.		Community Colleges and	
		independent Colleges and	
		Universities.	
		(3) Also see	
		marketing/communications	

Key Result Area: 2.0.0.0	O Provide acce institutions.	ĸ	iss to educational, research, and service opportunities within the missions of the Regent
Objective: 2.2.0.0	0 Evaluate ann and service r		nually and, where appropriate, make recommendations to meet relevant educational needs of the state.
Strategy: 2.2.1.0	0 Conduct targ	rgeted needs assessment in specific prog	eted needs assessment in specific program areas and offer educational opportunities.
Action Steps (Numbered)	Who Responsible (*Lead person)	Remarks (e.g. opportunities or problems uncovered; talents or weaknesses revealed; coordinated	Assessment Update with Selective Monitoring Data
2.2.1.1 Develop recommendations for ongoing assessment of distance education needs in	Executive Director and Presidents	GRStrategic Plans for Distance	The GR on Distance Education reports now on assessments of programs and student outcomes. A work group meets each year to analyze the report and makes recommendations for changes for the following
2.2.1.2 Explore collaboration with business, industry, Workforce Development, and other agencies and organizations and prepare a report with	Executive Director and Presidents	lowa Council for Coordination of Post- High School Education has established an Advisory Committee on Distance Education for this purpose	<ul> <li>The ICCPHSE has established an Advisory Committee on Distance Education that has met.</li> <li>Pilot project is being considered for Community Colleges, Regent universities, colleges, and the business community.</li> </ul>
2.2.1.3 Increase distance education enrollment substantially.	Presidents	GR – Distance Education Report, which covers MGT#40 – off-campus student enrollment in degree programs GR – Fall Enrollment	<ul> <li>The GR on Distance Education refers to strategies taken by the universities to increase distance education enrollments, such as the expanded Bachelor of Liberal Studies program.</li> <li>The Coordinating Council on Virtual Learning is exploring on-line cooperative programs. The Council has developed a website, IRIDE.</li> <li>The annual GR on Fall Enrollments contains data on</li> </ul>
	-		distance education enrollments, including statistics from the Regional Study Center programs.

Key Result Area:	2.0.0.0	Provide access to educational, research, and service opportunities within the missions of the Regent institutions.	
Objective:	2.2.0.0	Evaluate annually and, where appropriate, make recommendations to meet relevant educational and service needs of the state.	
Strategy:	2.2.2.0	Recognize the need of all lowans for access to services provided by Regent institutions including	

	14/6.0	Domarke (a n opportunities or	Assessment Update with Selective Monitoring Data
Action Steps	Responsible	problems uncovered; talents or	
(na iagiina)	(*Lead person)	weaknesses revealed; coordinated	
		efforts required)	
2221 Advocate for resources	Executive	GR -Budget Requests	<ul> <li>Assessment is in place through regular docket items</li> </ul>
needed to provide services.	Director,	GR - Tuition Study	and legislative activities.
	President, and		
	Superintendents		
2.2.2.2 Delegate to President	SUI President	The university is engaged in various	<ul> <li>Assessment procedures are in place.</li> </ul>
and leadership of the Clinical		planning and management activities	
Enterprise authority to take		designed to achieve action.	
actions to ensure access of			
lowans to lowa's comprehensive,			
tertiary health care center.			
2.2.2.3 As trustees of the	Board	GR Quarterly Reports	<ul> <li>Assessment procedures are in place.</li> </ul>
University of Iowa Hospitals and			
Clinics, the Board receives			
comprehensive reports on the	•		
Clinical Enterprise.			
2.2.2.4 Monitor actions taken by	Executive	The Board liaison group will meet	<ul> <li>Assessment procedures are in place.</li> </ul>
SUI leadership to comply with the	Director	periodically and make reports.	•
charge of the Board relative to			
Clinical Enterprise.			

Action Steps	Who	Remarks (e.g. opportunities or	Ass	Assessment Update with Selective Monitoring Data	
(Numbered)	Responsible	problems uncovered; talents or			
	(*Lead person)	weaknesses revealed; coordinated			_
		efforts required)			1
2.2.2.5 Delegate to President	ISU President	GR - ISU President (annual)	•	Assessment procedures are in place.	
and leadership of the extension					
program authority to take actions	-				
to ensure access of lowans to					
lowa's extension services.					_
2.2.2.6 Assess adequacy of	Presidents and	GR - Annual and Quarterly	•	The university strategic plans include extensive	
access to appropriate research	Superintendents	Institutional Reports		coverage of appropriate research and scholarship	
and services.	•	GR - Institutional Strategic Plans		activities.	
		GR - Distance Education (annual)	•	The Annual GR on Distance Education details	
				educational outreach and service activities.	
2.2.7 Encourage development	Executive	Currently provided in various	•	The GR on Distance Education has increased	-
of strategic alliances to increase	Director,	governance reports from universities,		descriptions of collaborative activities,	
access to services as measured	Presidents, and	special schools, and UIHC.		interinstitutionally and with other higher education	
by annual reports of individuals	Superintendents			institutions.	
served.	•		•	The GR on Economic Development and Technology	
•				Transfer has expanded its treatment of collaboration	
				with businesses.	

Establish policies to encourage continuous improvement of the climate for diversity and ensure equal educational and employment opportunities.	provement of the climate for diversity and	Perform annual review of the climate for diversity and the issue of educational and employment opportunity.	Selective Monitoring  Data	The Board biennially appoints a standing committee on Affirmative Actions & Equal Opportunities composed of institutional officials	that review institutional diversity and annually make recommendations to the Board for improving diversity.	<ul> <li>The Board has a Priority Issues Study Group on Diversity that focuses on diversity climate issues.</li> </ul>	Relative to employment, the Board receives annual reports addressing affirmative action and	<ul> <li>diversity policies and practices.</li> <li>Faculty resignations are reported with ethnic identification wherever possible.</li> </ul>	The GR reports on the College Bound Program and the Minority Academic Grants for Academic	Success Program are part of the Annual GR on Student Financial Aid. In FY 01, the Regent Iniversities provided \$1 750 849 to 802	participants in the IMAGES program. In addition, the institutions allocated \$285,526 to 3,750 participants in the College Bound	Program. Attendance at a College bound activity gives a student priority for an IMAGES grant after enrolling in a Regent university.
Establish policies to encourage continuous improvement educational and employment opportunities.	Reaffirm or revise Board policy to ensure continuous improvement of the climate for diversity and ensure equal educational and employment opportunities.	al review of the climate for diversity and the	Remarks (e.g. opportunities or problems uncovered; talents or weaknesses revealed; coordinated efforts required)	GR Annual Report on Diversity			GR Affirmative Action GR College Bound Program	GR Minority and Women Educators Enhancement Program GR Minority Academic Grants for,	Educational Success (IMAGES) GR Faculty Resignations			
Establish poli educational a	Reaffirm or re- ensure equal (	Perform annu	Who Responsible (*Lead person)	Executive Director, Presidents, and	Superintendents		Executive Director					
Key Result Area: 3.0.0.0	Objective: 3.1.0.0	Strategy: 3.1.1.0	Action Steps (Numbered)	3.1.1.1 Prepare recommendations to the Board of Recents to improve the	climate for diversity and equal opportunity.		3.1.1.2 Review Board policies, documents, and governance	reports related to equal opportunity and diversity.				

			Accomment Hadata with Coloring Monitoring
Action Steps	Who	Kemarks (e.g. opportunities of problems	Assessment Opdate With Scientive Monitoring
(Numbered)	Responsible	uncovered; talents or weaknesses	Data
	(*Lead person)	revealed; coordinated efforts required)	
3 1 1 3 Review relevant case	Executive Director	Presentations to be given by appropriate	<ul> <li>The Priority Issues Study Group on Diversity</li> </ul>
	and University	institutional officials and Attorney General's	receives annual updates on the status of legal
equal opportunity.	Counsels	office.	issues impacting diversity.
3 1 1 4 Collect and review peer Executive	Executive	GR Annual Report on Diversity	The Board's Committee on Affirmative Action
group policies and practices	Director.		and Equal Opportunity collects and reviews peer
	Presidents, and	•	institutions' policies and practices affecting
	Superintendents		diversity.

Key Result Area:	3.0.0.0	Establish policies to encourage continuous improvement of the climate for diversity and ensure equal educational and employment opportunities.	
Objective:	3.1.0.0	Reaffirm or revise Board policy to ensure continuous improvement of the climate for diversity and ensure equal educational and employment opportunities.	
Strategy:	3.1.2.0	Develop and review governance processes and reports for impact on equal opportunity.	

Action Steps	Who	Remarks (e.g. opportunities or	Assessment Update with Selective Monitoring Data
(Numbered)	Responsible	problems uncovered; talents or	
	(*Lead person)	weaknesses revealed; coordinated efforts required)	
3.1.2.1 Review the Board's	Board,	GR Annual Report on Diversity	<ul> <li>Board Office staff meet with the Board's Priority Issue Study</li> </ul>
mechanisms for monitoring	Executive		Group and prepare the agenda for its meetings in
progress, policies, and	Director,		consultation with the Group's chair.
practices relating to	Presidents, and		
diversity and equal	Superintendents		
opportunity.			
3.1.2.2 Review the timing	Executive	GR Annual Report on Diversity	<ul> <li>The reports received by the Board are timed to be reviewed</li> </ul>
of equal opportunity	Director		in advance of the statutory date of their delivery to the
governance reports.			Legislature.
3.1.2.3 Include information	Executive	GR Enrollment Report as well as	Governance reports on employment and enrollment include
on diversity in all relevant	Director,	GR Faculty Tenure contain MGT	analysis of the ethnic composition of employee and student
governance reports.	Presidents, and	#41 (the percentage of student body,	cohorts.
	Superintendents	faculty, and staff that are minority)	<ul> <li>The Annual Report on Performance Indicators includes at</li> </ul>
		GR - Institutional Strategic Plans	seven years of data on Indicators #41 and #42.
	-	GR Graduation and Retention; also	<ul> <li>The new strategic plans for the universities and ISD include</li> </ul>
		universities' strategic plans for MGT	indicators relative to diversity of their communities, both
		#42 (retention and graduation of	employee and student. IBSSS will have a new plan next
		minority undergraduates).	year.
3.1.2.4 Analyze the	Executive	GR - Annual Report on Diversity	<ul> <li>Employment governance reports analyze data based on</li> </ul>
completed governance	Director		ethnic representation.
reports for their			
implications for diversity.			

Key Result Area:	4.0.0.0	Meet the objectives of the Board and institutional strategic plans and provide effective stewardship of the institutions' state, federal, and private resources.	
Objective:	4.1.0.0	Annually review institutional strategic plans for consistency with Regent strategic plan in support of Regent- wide and institutional initiatives.	•
Strategy:	4.1.1.0	Annually review and approve institutional resource allocations and reallocations, consistent with and supportive of the Board and institutional strategic plans.	

											Pa
Assessment Update with Selective Monitoring Data		<ul> <li>Assessment is in place through the normal operating budgetary procedures in Toledo Society meetings and GR presentations in July and September.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Assessment is in place through the normal operating budgetary procedures in Toledo Society meetings,</li> </ul>	institutional budget meetings, and GR presentations in May, June and July.						
	problems uncovered; talents or weaknesses revealed; coordinated efforts required)	GR Budget Requests		GR – Budget Requests. Preliminary proposals from the universities for	budget policies for the upcoming year. (May) Reviewed by Board Office and recommendations made.	Proposed budgets are reviewed for consistency with Board and	Institutional Strategic Plans			-	
Who Responsible	("Lead person)	Executive Director, Presidents, and Superintendents		Executive Director							•
Action Steps	(Numbered)	4.1.1.1 Develop and disseminate common institutional budget	requests in an approved format for Board Office review.	4.1.1.2 Prepare for the Board a review and	recommendations of preliminary institutional	proposals.		: -			

Action Steps	Who Responsible	Remarks (e.g. opportunities or	Assessment Update with Selective Monitoring Data
(Numbered)	(*Lead person)	problems uncovered; talents or weaknesses revealed; coordinated efforts required)	
4.1.1.3 Refine final	Executive Director	GR - Preliminary budgets reviewed	<ul> <li>Assessment is in place through the normal operating</li> </ul>
recommendations for		and approved; then become Operating	budgetary procedures and GR presentations in May and
the Board of Regents	-	Budgets of institutions.	June.
regarding preliminary			
institutional operating			
budgets.			
4.1.1.4 Prepare	<b>Executive Director</b>	GR - Final budgets - Detailed	<ul> <li>Assessment is in place through the normal operating</li> </ul>
recommendations for		operating budgets for the upcoming	budgetary procedures and GK presentations in July.
the Board on final	•	fiscal year.	
detailed annual			
budgets.			
4.1.1.5 Approve all	Board	GR Preliminary Operating Budget	<ul> <li>Assessment is in place through the normal operating</li> </ul>
budget-ceiling		received, reviewed, and approved	budgetary procedures and GR presentations in May and
increases.	•	(usually during last quarter of year).	June.
4.1.1.6 Prepare and	Executive Director,	These appropriation requests are for	<ul> <li>Assessment is in place through the normal budgetary</li> </ul>
recommend to the	Presidents, and	the subsequent fiscal year or	procedures and GR presentations in July and September.
Board the appropriation	Superintendents	biennium. Preliminary consideration is	
requests for next fiscal	•	scheduled for the Board in July with	
year/biennium.		final action in September.	

Key Result Area:	4.0.0.0	Meet the objectives of the Board and institutional strategic plans and provide effective stewardship of the institutions' state, federal, and private resources.	
Objective:	4.1.0.0	Annually review institutional strategic plans for consistency with Regent strategic plan in support of Regent- wide and institutional initiatives.	
Strategy:	4.1.2.0	Annually examine outcomes of strategic plan initiatives and resource investment to verify the level of achievement of strategic plans.	

Action Steps	Who Responsible	Remarks (e.g. opportunities or	Assessment Update with Selective Monitoring Data
(Numbered)	(*Lead person)	problems uncovered; talents or	
•		weaknesses revealed; coordinated	
		efforts required)	
4.1.2.1 Review and analyze	Executive Director,	GR -Institutional Strategic Plans (and	<ul> <li>Assessment procedures are in place.</li> </ul>
progress on and modification	Presidents, and	Performance Indicators) in	<ul> <li>The new strategic plans for the universities include</li> </ul>
of strategic plans from each	Superintendents	November	analysis of linkages to the Board's plan, illustrate the
institution for Board		GR Institutional Strategic Plans	impact of budgetary decisions, and provide processes
consideration.	•	considered and approved (modified as	for modification. Data on individual institutional
		needed) December	indicators and Board indicators is included.
	•		<ul> <li>ISD has had its new plan recently approved by the</li> </ul>
•			Board. It includes many new strategies and
			benchmarks.
			<ul> <li>IBSSS is preparing its new Strategic Plan.</li> </ul>
4.1.2.2 Review	Board and	GR - Comprehensive Fiscal Report	<ul> <li>Assessment is in place through presentations in</li> </ul>
comprehensive fiscal report	Executive Director		October.
for prior year.	-		
4.1.2.3 Review institutional	Executive Director	GRInstitutional Strategic Plans	See 4.1.2.1
update of five-year strategic		GR Board's Strategic Plan	
plans and present changes to			
Board of Regents for			
approval.			

Key Result Area: 4.0.0.0	Meet the ol institutions'	Meet the objectives of the Board and institutional strinstitutions' state, federal, and private resources.	Meet the objectives of the Board and institutional strategic plans and provide effective stewardship of the institutions' state, federal, and private resources.
Objective: 4.2.0.0		Improve the operational effectiveness and efficiency of the institutions.	of the institutions.
Strategy: 4.2.1.0		Establish an on-going program and plan to improve operational efficiency and effectiveness.	operational efficiency and effectiveness.
Action Steps (Numbered)	Who Responsible (*Lead person)	Remarks (e.g. opportunities or problems uncovered; talents or weaknesses revealed; coordinated efforts required)	Assessment Update with Selective Monitoring Data
4.2.1.1. Each institution develop a schedule of reviews for all academic and nonacademic units covering a 7-vear period.	Presidents and Superintendents	GR Program Reviews	<ul> <li>The GR on Annual Program Reviews and Student Outcomes Assessment was revised in 2001. More focus was placed on programmatic changes resulted from the changes.</li> </ul>
4.2.1.2 Evaluate each unit in terms of efforts at achieving greater efficiency and effectiveness in operations and procedures.	Presidents and Superintendents	GR —Program reviews GR — Comprehensive Fiscal Report includes, but is not limited to, energy conservation, space utilization, technology utilization, business operations. GR — Unit Cost and GR Tuition Study includes cost per student (MGT #43)	<ul> <li>Assessment is in place through presentations in October, which includes a focus on institutional efficiencies and effectiveness.</li> <li>Assessment for purchasing operations in November monitors institutional efficiencies.</li> </ul>
4.2.1.3 Re-engineer processes as appropriate to improve efficiency and effectiveness.	Presidents and Superintendents	GR – Comprehensive Fiscal Report	<ul> <li>Assessment is in place through presentations in October, which includes a focus on institutional progress on efficiencies and effectiveness.</li> </ul>
4.2.1.4 Each institution provide the Board of Regents with an annual report of increased efficiency and effectiveness.	Executive Director, Presidents, and Superintendents	GR Institutional budgets, which include information on the 2 percent reallocations. (May and June) GR Comprehensive Fiscal Report	<ul> <li>Assessment is in place through the normal operating budgetary procedures.</li> <li>Monitoring is in place through the annual fiscal report.</li> </ul>

Key Result Area:	4.0.0.0	Meet the objectives of the Board and institutional strategic plans and provide effective stewardship of the institutions' state, federal, and private resources.
Objective:	4.3.0.0	Maintain and acquire physical facilities and equipment to meet stewardship responsibilities and changing institutional needs resulting from annual goal-setting and monitoring.
Strategy:	4.3.1.0	Adopt standards for preserving usefulness of facilities.

Action Stone	Who Responsible	Remarks (e.g., opportunities or	Assessment Update with Selective Monitoring Data
(Nimbered)	(*Lead person)	problems uncovered; talents or	
(50.000)		weaknesses revealed; coordinated	
	•	efforts required)	
4 3 1 1 Review and recommend Executive Director	Executive Director	GR - Deferred Maintenance Report	<ul> <li>Assessment and monitoring is in place through annual</li> </ul>
needed changes to the	•	includes MGT # 36 deferred	reports and regular activities.
standards for maintenance of		maintenance backlog and	
basic building and infrastructure		expenditures	
integrity to avoid deferred			
maintenance.			
4.3.1.2 Review and recommend	Executive Director	GR Deferred Maintenance Report	Assessment and monitoring is in place infough annual
needed changes to the	•		reports and regular activities.
standards for avoiding functional			
obsolescence of facilities.			

			A
Action Steps	Who	Remarks (e.g. opportunities or	Assessment Opdate With Defective Monitoring Data
(Numbered)	Responsible	problems uncovered; talents or	
	(*Lead	weaknesses revealed; coordinated	
	person)	efforts required)	
4 3 1 3 Review and	Executive	The State Fire Marshal has a major	<ul> <li>Assessment and monitoring is in place through annual</li> </ul>
recommend needed changes	Director	role in the setting of standards. The	reports and regular activities.
to the standards for meeting		chief business officers and directors of	
fire and environmental safety		facilities at the institutions will have a	
requirements		major role in the development of the	
		recommendations. The effort is to	
		include recommendations on OSHA	
		and other governmental safety	
		requirements.	
		GR - Fire and Environmental Safety	
		Report	

Key Result Area:	4.0.0.0	Meet the objectives of the Board and institutional strategic plans and provide effective stewardship of the institutions' state, federal, and private resources.
Objective:	4.3.0.0	Maintain and acquire physical facilities and equipment to meet stewardship responsibilities and changing institutional needs resulting from annual goal-setting and monitoring.
Strategy:	4.3.2.0	Review annual budgets for adequacy in meeting operation and maintenance standards.

Action Steps	Who	Remarks (e.g. opportunities or	Assessment Update with Selective Monitoring Data
(Numbered)	Responsible	problems uncovered; talents or	
	(*Lead person)	weaknesses revealed; coordinated	
		efforts required)	
4.3.2.1 Review and	Executive	1) *Budget Requests July and	<ul> <li>Assessment and monitoring is in place through annual</li> </ul>
recommend changes in the	Director,	September	reports and budgetary procedures.
institutional building repair	Presidents, and	2) *Finalization of budget May-July	
budget allocation.	Superintendents		
4.3.2.2 Review and	Executive	MGT#6 — % of faculty using	<ul> <li>Assessment and monitoring is in place through annual</li> </ul>
recommend changes in the	Director,	instructional technology at SUI and	reports and regular activities.
institutional equipment	Presidents, and	N	
budget allocation.	Superintendents	MGT#7 number of general	
		assignment technology-equipped	
		classrooms at SUI	
	•	MGT#8 % of class sections with	
		computers at ISU	
		MGT#9 % of faculty who use	
		computers at ISU	
		MGT#10 - % of students with	
-		technology accessibility (IEP plans) at	
		special schools	
4.3.2.3 Review and	Executive	Related to 4.3.1.1. These efforts	<ul> <li>Assessment and monitoring is in place through annual</li> </ul>
recommend changes in the	Director,	should be considered during	reports and regular activities.
institutional physical plant	Presidents, and	development of the annual operating	
operation and maintenance.	Superintendents	budgets and the legislative budget	
		requests.	

Key Result Area:	4.0.0.0	Meet the objustitutions'	jectives of the Board and institutional strastate, federal, and private resources.	Meet the objectives of the Board and institutional strategic plans and provide effective stewardship of the institutions' state, federal, and private resources.
Objective:	4.3.0.0	Maintain and changing inst	Maintain and acquire physical facilities and equipment to meet stewardship res changing institutional needs resulting from annual goal-setting and monitoring.	acquire physical facilities and equipment to meet stewardship responsibilities and litutional needs resulting from annual goal-setting and monitoring.
Strategy:	4.3.3.0	Seek additic	Seek additional funds to preserve and expand facilities and equipment.	s and equipment.
Action Steps (Numbered)		Who Responsible ("Lead person)	Remarks (e.g. opportunities or problems uncovered; talents or weaknesses revealed; coordinated efforts required)	Assessment Update with Selective Monitoring Data
4.3.3.1 Review and recommend Executive	scommend		MGT #35 amount of capital	Assessment and monitoring is in place through annual

Action Steps	Who	Remarks (e.g. opportunities or	Assessment Update with Selective Monitoring Data	elective Monitoring Data
(Numbered)	Responsible	problems uncovered; talents or		
	(*Lead person)	weaknesses revealed; coordinated		
	•	efforts required)		
4.3.3.1 Review and recommend Executive	Executive	MGT #35 amount of capital	Assessment and monitor	Assessment and monitoring is in place through annual
a list of capital needs for each	Director	improvement funds requested and	<ul> <li>reports and regular activities.</li> </ul>	ies.
institution in priority order,		received)		•
including funding.		GR 5 Year Capital Plan		
4.3.3.2 Develop matrix of all	Executive	GR - Comprehensive Fiscal Plan	Assessment and monitori	Assessment and monitoring is in place through annual
funds, capital expenditures and	Director		reports and regular activities.	ies.
compare year-to-year trends.				
4.3.3.3 Review and recommend   Board and	Board and	GR - Annual Bond Audits to Banking	Annual assessment of bo	Annual assessment of bonding needs approved for
program for use of self-	Executive	Committee.	each calendar year.	
liquidating revenue bonds to	Director		Monitoring is in place thro	Monitoring is in place through process for sale of bonds
build enterprise facilities.			and annual audit reports.	

# **BOARD OF Regent STATE OF IOWA**

Meet the objectives of the Board and institutional strategic plans and provide effective stewardship of the institutions' state, federal, and private resources.	Strengthen public understanding and confidence in the Board of Regent, its governance authority, and the programs and services of the institutions under its jurisdiction by measurable indicators of legislative outcomes and public support to be annually reported to the Board.	1.0 Implement an annual comprehensive communications program with elected officials and the residents of lowa.
4.0.0.0	4.4.0.0	4.4.1.0
Key Result Area:	Objective:	Strategy:

	18/1.	Damarta to a concertinities or	Accessment Undate with Selective Monitoring Data
Action Steps	AAUO	Weiliains (e.g. opportunities of	Casesallelle opdate mill coloculo mollical para
(Numbered)	Responsible	problems uncovered; talents or	
	(*Lead person)	weaknesses revealed; coordinated	
	•	efforts required)	
4.1.1 Review existing data	Executive	Regent Information Committee will be	<ul> <li>The Board and the Regent Information Committee</li> </ul>
sources and measures of public	Director,	convened to address these issues.	regularly review news clippings.
understanding and confidence in	Presidents, and		<ul> <li>The Board maintains marketing and communications</li> </ul>
the Board of Regent to identify	Superintendents		efforts.
common data elements desired.			
4.4.1.2 Develop processes to	Executive	The inter-institutional budget	<ul> <li>Assessment process is in place.</li> </ul>
collect desired data on an annual	Director,	preparation committee ("Toledo	<ul> <li>There were no actions this year.</li> </ul>
basis utilizing existing institutional	Presidents, and	Society") will be involved in this	
processes to the extent possible	Superintendents	endeavor.	
and initiating new processes as			
needed.			
4.4.1.3 Analyze communication	Executive	Annual Communication Plan	<ul> <li>Both internal and external plans have been prepared.</li> </ul>
plans for target audiences (i.e.,	Director,	developed	
elected officials and general	Presidents, and		
public) and design the plans to	Superintendents		
improve understanding and			
increase confidence.			
4.4.1.4 Share communication	Executive	Annual Communications Plan was	<ul> <li>The newsletter and website are in place.</li> </ul>
document(s) which reflect support	Director	developed and implemented, including	<ul> <li>The annual report of the Board for 2000 will be in a</li> </ul>
for and build understanding of		Newsletter, website, and Outreach	new format, emphasizing progress made on
Regent institutions with elected		Activity.	performance indicators.
officials, candidates for public			
office, media, and the general			
public			age

Key Result Area:	4.0.0.0	Meet the objectives of the Board and institutional strategic plans and provide effective stewardship of the institutions' state, federal, and private resources.
Objective:	4.4.0.0	Strengthen public understanding and confidence in the Board of Regents, its governance authority, and the programs and services of the institutions under its jurisdiction by measurable indicators of legislative outcomes and public support to be annually reported to the Board.
Strategy:	4.4.2.0	Increase cooperation and collaboration among the Regent institutions and with other educational agencies, including community colleges and independent colleges and universities.

	18/4.	Domarke (o a opportunities or	Accessment Undate with Selective Monitoring Data	Γ
Action Steps	Responsible	problems uncovered: talents or		٠
(paragraph)	(*Lead person)	weaknesses revealed; coordinated		
		efforts required)		
4.4.2.1 Identify existing	Executive	GR - Iowa Coordinating Council for	<ul> <li>Comprehensive booklet prepared on university collaborative</li> </ul>	
institutional	Director,	Post-High School Education.	relationships.	
cooperative/collaborative	Presidents and			
programs to form	Superintendents	Distance Education.		
baseline data and				
develop a reporting format to the Board.				1
4.4.2.2 Develop	Executive	GRInterinstitutional Committee on	<ul> <li>Assessment is in place through the normal operating</li> </ul>	
recommendations for	Director,	Educational Coordination.	budgetary procedures in Toledo Society meetings,	
joint/common budget	Presidents and	a .	institutional budget meetings, legislative activities, and GR	···
initiatives as part of the annual legislative agenda.		Post-nigii ociooi Education.	presentations in September unough January.	
)	•			
	•			<del>-</del>
		•		
	-			
	*******			_
	•			P
				ء آ

Action Steps	Who	Remarks (e.g. opportunities or	Assessment Update with Selective Monitoring Data
(Numbered)	Responsible	problems uncovered; talents or	
	(*Lead person)	weaknesses revealed; coordinated	
		efforts required)	
4.4.2.3 Explore	Executive	GR Strategic Plan for Distance	<ul> <li>The GR on Distance Education contained a number of</li> </ul>
opportunities for	Director,	Education	examples of collaboration.
partnership among the	Presidents and	GR Iowa Coordinating Council for	<ul> <li>The 2+2 Council will explore additional articulation</li> </ul>
Regent institutions and	Superintendents	Post-High School Education	agreements with community colleges.
with other sectors of			<ul> <li>The Regent universities have been active in the Des Moines</li> </ul>
education.			Higher Education Center.
4.4.2.4 Explore	Executive	GR - Annual Reports of IBSSS and	<ul> <li>The Strategic Plan reports for ISD and IBSSS provide</li> </ul>
opportunities for	Director and	ISD.	examples of cooperative efforts with local school districts
collaboration between the	Superintendents		(LEAs) and area education agencies (AEAs).
special school programs			
and LEA's, AEA's, and			
other state agencies that			
are providing	,		
programs/services to			
individuals between the			
ages of 0-22 years.			
,			